HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT APPEAL NO:1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1081, 1084, 1105, 1088, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103, 1106, 1107, 108, 1109, 1110, 1111, 1112, 1113, 1114, 1115, 1116, 1117, 1118, 1119, 1120 of 2006

DATED: 06-11-2006

WRIT APPEAL NO:1064 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. M.Seshu Babu and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1065 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. V.Venkata Subbaiah and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1066 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Krishnamachary and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1067 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. D.Maheshwara Chary and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1068 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Pavan Murthy and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1069 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. B.Amarendra Rao and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1070 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. G.Tharak Suresh and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1071 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Anil Raju and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1072 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. P.Pushpalatha and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1081 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. M.Seshu Babu and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1084 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Krishna and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1105 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. H.Shyam and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1088 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. V.V.Ramana Kumar and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1099 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. Ch.Krishna Kishore and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1100 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. M.Srinivasa Rao and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1101 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. H.Shyam and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1102 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. Kum.M.Rajyalakshmi and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1103 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. VNV Veera Bhadra Rao and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1106 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. M.Venkatapathy Raju and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1107 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. B.Amarendra Rao and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1108 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. VV Ramana Kumar and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1109 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. G.Tarak Suresh and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1110 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. Kum.M.Rajyalakshmi and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1111 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Krishnamachary and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1112 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Edukondalu and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1113 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Pavan Murthy and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1114 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Venkata Subbaiah and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1115 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. VNV Veera Bhadra Rao and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1116 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. P.Lakshmana and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1117 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Anil Raju and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1118 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. D.Maheswara Chary and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1119 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K.Edukondalu and another

....RESPONDENTS

WRIT APPEAL NO:1120 of 2006

Between:

Vissa Television Network Ltd., 157-A, Kamalapuri Colony, III Phase, Hyderabad, rep.by its Authorized Person Mr.G.Kannan

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. M.Venkatapathy Raju and another

....RESPONDENTS

COMMON JUDGMENT:(per The Hon'ble Sri Justice B.Prakash Rao)

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner earlier on 19-10-2006, and subsequently, the matter is being adjourned at his instance.

Having heard the learned Government Pleader for Labour, and Finance and Planning and also on perusal of the material available on record, it is seen that these appeals arise out of the common order dated 24-07-2006 passed by the learned Single Judge in a batch of writ petitions filed by the petitioners dismissing the relief as sought for, where the challenge was sought to be made as against the impugned orders dated 02-05-2006, mainly on the ground that the petitioner has got an alternative remedy of second appeal as provided under Section 48 (3) of the A.P. Shops and Establishments Act, 1988, having regard to the same, the question of entertaining any writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India does not arise.

We are in entire agreement with the reasoning given by the learned Single Judge, and therefore, we do not find any merits.

Accordingly, all the writ appeals are dismissed with a liberty to the appellant to avail the alternative remedy of appeal as available under law, within a period of four weeks from today. No order as to costs.

_____

B.PRAKASH RAO, J

_____

RAMESH RANGANATHAN, J

06th November, 2006

SKM